

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 VIENNA 03165 041517Z

ACTION OES-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 OIC-02 SS-15 IO-14 ACDA-12

CIAE-00 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 EB-08 NRC-07

SOE-02 DODE-00 DOE-15 SP-02 CEQ-01 AF-10 ARA-14

EA-12 NEA-10 /162 W

-----102923 050627Z /11

R 041510Z APR 78

FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 5828

INFO USDOEHQ WASHDC

USDOEHQ GERMANTOWN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY PARIS

UNCLAS VIENNA 03165

USIAEA

DEPT PASS IO/SCT, S/AS, AND OES FROM BORIGHT

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: TECH, ENRG

SUBJECT: DISCUSSION OF INFCE IN LONDON AND PARIS

1. DURING VISIT OF U.S. NUCLEAR BILATERAL DELEGATION TO LONDON, THE FOLLOWING POINTS RELATED TO INFCE AROSE:

- A. PRESS (UK) REFERRED TO US/UK BILATERAL DISCUSSION OF HOW SENSITIVE INFORMATION COULD BE PROTECTED AND SAID A UK GROUP HAD BEEN STUDYING THE U.S. WG-8 "ASSESSMENT FACTORS" PAPER, AND ASKED FOR CLARIFICATION OF SOME POINTS. PRESS SAID HIS PEOPLE WOULD BE REPORTING TO HIM WITHIN TEN DAYS WITH SUGGESTIONS AS TO SPECIFIC AREAS OF SENSITIVE INFORMATION WHICH SHOULD BE AVOIDED. HE ASKED IF THE U.S., UK AND PERHAPS FRANCE COULD AGREE ON SUCH AREAS, WHEN IT WOULD HAVE TO BE AGREED, AND WHETHER AGREEMENT WAS FEASIBLE ON THAT TIME

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 VIENNA 03165 041517Z

SCALE. BORIGHT SAID WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO LOOK AT UK DRAFT, THAT TO BE MOST USEFUL IT SHOULD BE AGREED IN MAY OR BY END OF THIS SUMMER AT THE LATEST, AND THAT DEPENDING ON THE LEVEL OF DETAIL, AGREEMENT WOULD SEEM TO BE POSSIBLE BY THAT TIME.

- B. BORIGHT MENTIONED WG-4 PAPERS BY UK/JAPAN TECHNICAL SECRETARIAT RECEIVED IN WASHINGTON IN LAST TWO DAYS,

AND SAID WE WERE CONCERNED THAT WG-4 DO ITS DEFINED WORK AND NOT PREJUDGE WG'S-1, 3, AND 5 CONCLUSIONS. WE ALSO HOPED THAT ANALYSIS PAPERS WOULD BE RELEVANT TO ALL PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES, AND NOT JUST ADVANCED INDUSTRIAL AND URANIUM-PRODUCING STATES.

2. IN ONE OF THREE SMALLER SEPARATE SESSIONS MARCH 31 FOLLOWING U.S./FRENCH BILATERAL, BORIGHT DISCUSSED INFCE WITH BUJON DE L'ESTAING AND SARELLI.

- A. BORIGHT REVIEWED GENERAL SORTS OF RESULTS AND ANALYSES WE HOPED FOR FROM INFCE (I.E., CONSENSUS ON SOME BASIC APPROACHES, APPROPRIATE ECONOMIC AND RESOURCE FRAMEWORK FOR FUEL CYCLE DECISIONS, EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL OPTIONS WHICH MIGHT HAVE NON-PROLIFERATION ADVANTAGES, CONSENSUS ON SOME POTENTIALLY USEFUL INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS). BUJON SAID HE UNDERSTOOD AND FELT THIS WAS USEFUL. IN QUESTIONING WHAT WAS ACHIEVABLE, BUJON RAISED NEGATIVE SOVIET ATTITUDE AT LAST BOARD. BORIGHT SAID SOVIETS DO HAVE SOME UNCERTAINTY AS TO PURPOSES AND METHODS, BUT THAT WE BELIEVE THEY WILL CONTINUE TO BE CONSTRUCTIVELY INVOLVED.

- B. BORIGHT OUTLINED DISCUSSIONS WITH UK OF PROBLEM OF SENSITIVE INFORMATION. BUJON SAID THEY WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS IT FURTHER WITH U.S. AND UK AND SUGGESTED WE SET DATE FOR TRILATERAL IN A FEW WEEKS WHEN WE HAVE UK IDEAS AS TO AREAS OF SENSITIVE UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 VIENNA 03165 041517Z

INFORMATION.

- C. ON TCC, BUJON SAID HE WOULD LEAVE CHAIRMANSHIP SUBJECT TO GOLDSCHMIDT. SARELLI SAID HE THOUGHT TCC WOULD HAVE TO ADDRESS SUBJECT OF PROLIFERATION RESISTANCE ASSESSMENT, BUT SEEMED TO HAVE NO IDEA WHAT EXACTLY SHOULD RESULT.

- D. BUJON SAID FRENCH PARTICIPANTS WERE EXTREMELY BUSY. BORIGHT SAID SAME WAS TRUE OF U.S. PARTICIPANTS: WE THEREFORE INTENDED TO DO OUR BEST TO AVOID EXCESSIVE WORK AND DETAIL IN NON-ESSENTIAL AREAS. FOR EXAMPLE, IN SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS, ONLY OVERVIEW SUMMARY AND GENERAL COMPARATIVE FEATURES OF ALTERNATIVE FUEL CYCLES NEED BE INCLUDED.

3. THIS CABLE PREPARED BY BORIGHT IN VIENNA.  
LABOWITZ

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 jan 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** PRESS COMMENTS, NUCLEAR ENERGY, COMMITTEE MEETINGS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 04 apr 1978  
**Decaption Date:** 01 jan 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 jan 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1978VIENNA03165  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D780146-0701  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** VIENNA USIAEA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1978/newtext/t19780492/aaaadasa.tel  
**Line Count:** 110  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 210b7db6-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION OES  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 16 mar 2005  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 3074988  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** DISCUSSION OF INFCE IN LONDON AND PARIS  
**TAGS:** TECH, ENRG, INFCE  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/210b7db6-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Sheryl P. Walter  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
20 Mar 2014  
**Markings:** Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014